

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,769	04/02/2004	Daisuke Yahata	360842009710 9944	
7590 12/15/2006		EXAMINER		
Barry E. Bretschneider			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
Morrison & Foerster LLP Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1650 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102			1771	
			DATE MAILED: 12/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/815,769	YAHATA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Juska	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the description of the	vn from consideration. relection requirement. repted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is objected	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendment filed October 3, 2006, has been entered. Claim 24 has been amended as requested. Claims 1-21 and 25-29 are cancelled. The pending claims are 22-24.
- 3. Said amendment is sufficient to overcome the 112, 2nd rejection of claim 24 as set forth in section 5 of the last Office Action.

Inventorship

- 4. In view of the papers filed October 3, 2006, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of Daisuke YAHATA, Shinnichi NISHIHATA, Motokatsu NISHIMURA, Tatsuro MIZUKI, and Kenso KUBO.
- 5. The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,769 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claims 22-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention as set forth in section 6 of the last Office Action.
- 8. Applicant traverses said rejection by asserting the use of functional language in a claim is proper (Amendment, page 3, 2nd and 3rd paragraphs). The examiner agrees that functional language, in and of itself, does not render a claim indefinite. However, the present claim language merely sets forth physical characteristics desired in the yarn rather than the specific composition or structure that produces said physical characteristics. This renders the claim indefinite since it is not clear that the scope of the claim is limited to that which applicant has invented and not to other future aliphatic polyester yarns that might share some of the recited physical properties.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 10. Claims 22-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by JP 2002-180340 A issued to Matsumura et al. as set forth in section 8 of the last Office Action.

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant asserts the Petition to Correct Inventorship removes the Matsumura reference as prior art since the inventive entity of said reference is the same as the present application (i.e., not "by others") (Amendment, page 4, 1st and 2nd paragraphs). The examiner respectfully disagrees since it is not evident that Takehiko Mitsuyoshi of the reference is the same person as Takehiko Miyoshi of the present application. The attorney's notation in the 1st paragraph of page 4 of the Amendment is insufficient to establish that the name recited in the Japanese reference is in error. As such, the 102(a) rejection of claims 22-24 stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 22 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over JP 2002-105752 issued to Okawa et al. as set forth in section 10 of the last Office Action.

Applicant traverses the rejection by asserting the Okawa reference is not available as prior art in that the Matsumura reference establishes the date of the present invention as the filing date of Matsumura (i.e., December 18, 2000) (Amendment, page 5, 1st paragraph). Since Okawa was not published until April 4, 2002, applicant believes the Okawa reference is not available as prior art (Amendment, page 5, 1st paragraph). The examiner respectfully disagrees. First, as discussed above, it has not been clearly established that the Matsumura reference is the work of

Application/Control Number: 10/815,769 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

the present inventors. Secondly, even if said reference is established to be the work of the present inventors, this in no way establishes the date of the invention as the filing date of the Japanese reference since there is no claim to foreign priority with the Matsumura reference. The effective filing date of the present application remains the filing date of the parent application 10/290,456 which is November 9, 2002. Hence, the Okawa reference is valid as prior art and the rejection is hereby maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. Claims 23 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the cited Okawa reference as set forth in section 9 of the last Office Action.

Applicant has presented no new arguments with respect to the 103 rejection of claims 23 and 24. As such, said rejection stands.

Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached at 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/815,769

Art Unit: 1771

Page 6

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAFAYLA. JOSKA PRINARY EXAMINER